

Analysis of control problems of nonmontone semilinear elliptic equations

Eduardo Casas

University of Cantabria
Santander, Spain
eduardo.casas@unican.es

A joint work with Mariano Mateos (University of Oviedo, Spain) and Arnd Rösch (University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany)



Back

Close

The Control Problem

$$(P) \quad \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y_u(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx \quad (\nu > 0)$$

The Control Problem

$$(P) \quad \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y_u(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \alpha \leq u(x) \leq \beta \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$$
$$(-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq +\infty)$$

The Control Problem

$$(P) \quad \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y_u(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx \quad (\nu > 0)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \alpha \leq u(x) \leq \beta \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$$
$$(-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq +\infty)$$

$$\begin{cases} Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + f(x, y) = u \text{ in } \Omega \\ y = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$



Back

Close

Assumptions on the Linear Operator

- Ω is an open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n = 2$ or 3 , with Lipschitz boundary Γ



Back

Close

Assumptions on the Linear Operator

- Ω is an open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n = 2$ or 3 , with Lipschitz boundary Γ

- $Ay = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{x_i}y)$ with $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$,

Assumptions on the Linear Operator

- Ω is an open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n = 2$ or 3 , with Lipschitz boundary Γ
- $Ay = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{x_i}y)$ with $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$,
- $\exists \Lambda > 0$ such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \Lambda|\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for a.a. $x \in \Omega$



Back

Close

Assumptions on the Linear Operator

- Ω is an open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n = 2$ or 3 , with Lipschitz boundary Γ
- $Ay = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(a_{ij}(x)\partial_{x_i}y)$ with $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$,
- $\exists \Lambda > 0$ such that $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \Lambda|\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for a.a. $x \in \Omega$
- $b \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some p to be fixed later



Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y, a_0 \geq 0$

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y, a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y, a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y, a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)
- We take $0 < \rho < \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$, $z(x) = (y(x) - \rho)^+$.

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y, a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)
- We take $0 < \rho < \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$, $z(x) = (y(x) - \rho)^+$.
- $\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla z(x) \neq 0\}$.

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y, a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)
- We take $0 < \rho < \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$, $z(x) = (y(x) - \rho)^+$.
- $\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla z(x) \neq 0\}$. $|\Omega_\rho| \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho \rightarrow \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$.

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y$, $a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)
- We take $0 < \rho < \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$, $z(x) = (y(x) - \rho)^+$.
- $\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla z(x) \neq 0\}$. $|\Omega_\rho| \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho \rightarrow \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$.
- $0 \geq \langle \mathcal{A}z, z \rangle \geq \Lambda \|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 - \|b\|_{L^3(\Omega_\rho)^3} \|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|z\|_{L^6(\Omega)}$

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y$, $a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)
- We take $0 < \rho < \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$, $z(x) = (y(x) - \rho)^+$.
- $\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla z(x) \neq 0\}$. $|\Omega_\rho| \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho \rightarrow \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$.
- $0 \geq \langle \mathcal{A}z, z \rangle \geq \Lambda \|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 - \|b\|_{L^3(\Omega_\rho)^3} \|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|z\|_{L^6(\Omega)}$
- $\|b\|_{L^3(\Omega_\rho)^3} \geq \frac{C}{\Lambda} > 0 \forall \rho$

Study of the Linear Operator

- $\mathcal{A}y = Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y + a_0(x)y$, $a_0 \geq 0$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > n$, $a_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q > 1$ if $n = 2$ and $q \geq \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

- If $\mathcal{A}y \leq 0 \Rightarrow y \leq 0$ (Gilbarg and Trudinger)
- We take $0 < \rho < \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$, $z(x) = (y(x) - \rho)^+$.
- $\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla z(x) \neq 0\}$. $|\Omega_\rho| \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho \rightarrow \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} y(x)$.
- $0 \geq \langle \mathcal{A}z, z \rangle \geq \Lambda \|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 - \|b\|_{L^3(\Omega_\rho)^3} \|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|z\|_{L^6(\Omega)}$
- $\|b\|_{L^3(\Omega_\rho)^3} \geq \frac{C}{\Lambda} > 0 \forall \rho$
- Fredholm Alternative

Regularity of the Solution

Theorem. Assume that $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ for some $p > n$, and $a_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. We also suppose that Γ is of class $C^{1,1}$ or Ω is convex. Then, $\mathcal{A} : H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.



Back

Close

The Adjoint Equation

Corollary. The adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}^* : H_0^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}^* \varphi = A^* \varphi - \operatorname{div}[b(x)\varphi] + a_0(x)\varphi$$

is an isomorphism.

The Adjoint Equation

Corollary. The adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}^* : H_0^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}^* \varphi = A^* \varphi - \operatorname{div}[b(x)\varphi] + a_0(x)\varphi$$

is an isomorphism.

Corollary. Assume that $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ for some $p > n$, and $a_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. We also suppose that $\operatorname{div} b \in L^2(\Omega)$, Γ is of class $C^{1,1}$ or Ω is convex. Then, $\mathcal{A}^* : H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

The Adjoint Equation

Corollary. The adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}^* : H_0^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}^* \varphi = A^* \varphi - \operatorname{div}[b(x)\varphi] + a_0(x)\varphi$$

is an isomorphism.

Corollary. Assume that $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ for some $p > n$, and $a_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. We also suppose that $\operatorname{div} b \in L^2(\Omega)$, Γ is of class $C^{1,1}$ or Ω is convex. Then, $\mathcal{A}^* : H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. $\mathcal{A}^* \varphi = A^* \varphi - b(x)\nabla \varphi + (a_0(x) - \operatorname{div} b(x))\varphi$



Assumptions on Semilinear Equation

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, monotone nondecreasing with respect to the second variable

Assumptions on Semilinear Equation

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, monotone nondecreasing with respect to the second variable
- $\forall M > 0 \exists \phi_M \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2} : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi_M(x)$
for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ and $\forall |y| \leq M$

Assumptions on Semilinear Equation

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, monotone nondecreasing with respect to the second variable
- $\forall M > 0 \exists \phi_M \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2} : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi_M(x)$
for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ and $\forall |y| \leq M$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > 2$ if $n = 2$ and $p > 6$ if $n = 3$. For every $u \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ the semilinear equation has a unique solution y_u in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$.



Assumptions on Semilinear Equation

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, monotone nondecreasing with respect to the second variable
- $\forall M > 0 \exists \phi_M \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2} : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi_M(x)$
for a.a. $x \in \Omega$ and $\forall |y| \leq M$

Theorem. Let $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > 2$ if $n = 2$ and $p > 6$ if $n = 3$. For every $u \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ the semilinear equation has a unique solution y_u in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Moreover, there exists a constant K_f independent of u such that

$$\|y_u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|y_u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq K_f \left(\|u\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} + \|f(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)} + 1 \right)$$



A Monotonicity Result

Lemma. Under the assumptions of the above theorem, if $y_1, y_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ are solutions of the equations

$$Ay_i + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_i + f(x, y_i) = u_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with $u_1, u_2 \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \leq u_2$ in Ω , then $y_1 \leq y_2$ in Ω as well.

A Monotonicity Result

Lemma. Under the assumptions of the above theorem, if $y_1, y_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ are solutions of the equations

$$Ay_i + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_i + f(x, y_i) = u_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with $u_1, u_2 \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \leq u_2$ in Ω , then $y_1 \leq y_2$ in Ω as well.

- The uniqueness of a solution of the state equation is consequence of the above lemma.

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \min\{y, k\})$$

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \min\{y, k\}) \Rightarrow \phi(x) \leq f_k(x, y) \leq \phi_k(x)$$

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \min\{y, k\}) \Rightarrow \phi(x) \leq f_k(x, y) \leq \phi_k(x)$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \min\{y, k\}) \Rightarrow \phi(x) \leq f_k(x, y) \leq \phi_k(x)$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y = u - \phi$$

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \min\{y, k\}) \Rightarrow \phi(x) \leq f_k(x, y) \leq \phi_k(x)$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y = u - \phi$$

$$A(y - y_k) + b(x) \cdot \nabla (y - y_k) = f_k(x, y_k) - \phi \geq 0$$

Existence: Sketch of Proof

- We redefine $f = f - f(\cdot, 0)$ and $u = u - f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.
- *Step 1:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega) : |f(x, y)| \leq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We use Schauder's Theorem.
- *Step 2:* $\exists \phi \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x, y) \geq \phi(x)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \min\{y, k\}) \Rightarrow \phi(x) \leq f_k(x, y) \leq \phi_k(x)$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Ay + b(x) \cdot \nabla y = u - \phi$$

$$A(y - y_k) + b(x) \cdot \nabla (y - y_k) = f_k(x, y_k) - \phi \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_k \leq y \Rightarrow f_k(x, y_k) = f(x, y_k) \text{ if } k \geq \|y\|_{\infty}$$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$
$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 \leq y_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 \leq y_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$$Az_2 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_2 = u - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})})$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 \leq y_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$$Az_2 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_2 = u - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})})$$

$$A(z_2 - y_k) + b(x) \cdot \nabla(z_2 - y_k) = f_k(x, y_k) - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}) \geq 0$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

10/28

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 \leq y_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$$Az_2 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_2 = u - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})})$$

$$A(z_2 - y_k) + b(x) \cdot \nabla(z_2 - y_k) = f_k(x, y_k) - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_k \leq z_2$$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 \leq y_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$$Az_2 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_2 = u - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})})$$

$$A(z_2 - y_k) + b(x) \cdot \nabla(z_2 - y_k) = f_k(x, y_k) - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_k \leq z_2 \Rightarrow \|y_k\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \max\{\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}, \|z_2\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}\}$$



Back

Close

- *Step 3: The general case.*

$$f_k(x, y) = f(x, \text{proj}_{[-k, +k]}(y))$$

$$Ay_k + b(x) \cdot \nabla y_k + f_k(x, y_k) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f(x, z_1^+) = u$$

$$Az_1 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_1 + f_k(x, z_1) = u + f_k(x, z_1) - f(x, z_1^+) \leq u$$

$$\Rightarrow z_1 \leq y_k \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$$Az_2 + b(x) \cdot \nabla z_2 = u - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})})$$

$$A(z_2 - y_k) + b(x) \cdot \nabla(z_2 - y_k) = f_k(x, y_k) - f(x, -\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}) \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y_k \leq z_2 \Rightarrow \|y_k\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \max\{\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}, \|z_2\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}\}$$

$$f_k(x, y_k) = f(x, y_k) \quad \forall k \geq \max\{\|z_1\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}, \|z_2\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}\}$$



Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

11/28

Continuity of $u \rightarrow y$ and regularity of y

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Continuity of $u \rightarrow y$ and regularity of y

Theorem. Let $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2}$ be a sequence weakly converging to u in $L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$. Then, $y_{u_k} \rightarrow y_u$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, where y_{u_k} is the solution of the semilinear equation associated to u_k .

Continuity of $u \rightarrow y$ and regularity of y

Theorem. Let $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2}$ be a sequence weakly converging to u in $L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$. Then, $y_{u_k} \rightarrow y_u$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, where y_{u_k} is the solution of the semilinear equation associated to u_k .

Theorem. Suppose that assumption on f holds with $\bar{p} = 2$, $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $b \in L^p(\Omega)^n$ with $p > 2$ if $n = 2$ and $p > 6$ if $n = 3$. We also suppose that Γ is of class $C^{1,1}$ or Ω is convex. Then, for every $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ the state equation has a unique solution $y_u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Existence of solution of (P)

- We recall that

$$(P) \quad \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y_u(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx \quad (\nu > 0)$$

Existence of solution of (P)

- We recall that

$$(P) \quad \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y_u(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx \quad (\nu > 0)$$

Theorem. The control problem (P) has at least one solution \bar{u} .

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

13/28

Differentiability Assumptions on f

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Differentiability Assumptions on f

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^2 w.r.t. the second variable

Differentiability Assumptions on f

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^2 w.r.t. the second variable
- $f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$

Differentiability Assumptions on f

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^2 w.r.t. the second variable
- $f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\forall M > 0 \exists C_{f,M} : \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y) \right| \leq C_{f,M} \forall |y| \leq M$

Differentiability Assumptions on f

- $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^2 w.r.t. the second variable
- $f(\cdot, 0) \in L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{p} > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$
- $\forall M > 0 \exists C_{f,M} : \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y) \right| \leq C_{f,M} \forall |y| \leq M$
- $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall M > 0 \text{ and } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y_2) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y_1) \right| < \varepsilon \text{ if } |y_1|, |y_2| \leq M, |y_2 - y_1| \leq \delta \end{array} \right.$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

14/28

Differentiability of the Mapping $u \rightarrow y_u$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Differentiability of the Mapping $u \rightarrow y_u$

Given $\hat{p} > \frac{n}{2}$, let us denote $G : L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow Y = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ the mapping associating with each control the state $G(u) = y_u$.

Differentiability of the Mapping $u \rightarrow y_u$

Given $\hat{p} > \frac{n}{2}$, let us denote $G : L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow Y = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ the mapping associating with each control the state $G(u) = y_u$.

Theorem. The control-to-state mapping G is of class C^2

Differentiability of the Mapping $u \rightarrow y_u$

Given $\hat{p} > \frac{n}{2}$, let us denote $G : L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow Y = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ the mapping associating with each control the state $G(u) = y_u$.

Theorem. The control-to-state mapping G is of class C^2 and for every $u, v \in L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$, we have that $z_v = G'(u)v$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} Az + b(x) \cdot \nabla z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y_u)z = v \text{ in } \Omega \\ z = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

Differentiability of the Mapping $u \rightarrow y_u$

Given $\hat{p} > \frac{n}{2}$, let us denote $G : L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega) \rightarrow Y = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ the mapping associating with each control the state $G(u) = y_u$.

Theorem. The control-to-state mapping G is of class C^2 and for every $u, v \in L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$, we have that $z_v = G'(u)v$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} Az + b(x) \cdot \nabla z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y_u)z = v \text{ in } \Omega \\ z = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

and for $v, w \in L^{\hat{p}}(\Omega)$, $z_{v,w} = G''(u)(v, w)$ solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} Az + b(x) \cdot \nabla z + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y_u)z + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y_u)z_v z_w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ z = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

15/28

Analysis of the Cost Functional

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Analysis of the Cost Functional

Theorem. The functional $J : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^2 .

Analysis of the Cost Functional

Theorem. The functional $J : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^2 . Moreover, given $u, v, v_1, v_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$J'(u)v = \int_{\Omega} (\varphi_u + \nu u)v \, dx$$

$$J''(u)(v_1, v_2) = \int_{\Omega} \left[1 - \varphi_u \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x, y_u) \right] z_{v_1} z_{v_2} \, dx + \nu \int_{\Omega} v_1 v_2 \, dx$$

where $\varphi_u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ is the unique solution of the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} A^* \varphi - \operatorname{div}[b(x)\varphi] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y_u)\varphi = y_u - y_d \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

16/28

Local Solutions

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Local Solutions

Definition. We say that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P), with $r \in [1, +\infty]$, if there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \text{ with } \|\bar{u} - u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Local Solutions

Definition. We say that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P), with $r \in [1, +\infty]$, if there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \text{ with } \|\bar{u} - u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

An element $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ is said a strong local minimum of (P) if there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \text{ with } \|y_{\bar{u}} - y_u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Local Solutions

Definition. We say that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P), with $r \in [1, +\infty]$, if there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \text{ with } \|\bar{u} - u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

An element $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ is said a strong local minimum of (P) if there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \text{ with } \|y_{\bar{u}} - y_u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

We say that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ is a strict (weak or strong) local minimum if the above inequalities are strict for $u \neq \bar{u}$.

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

17/28

I - Relationships among these Notions

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

I - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then

I - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then
 1. \bar{u} is an $L^1(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) if and only if it is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) for every $r \in (1, +\infty)$.



Back

Close

I - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then
 1. \bar{u} is an $L^1(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) if and only if it is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) for every $r \in (1, +\infty)$.
 2. If \bar{u} is a $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) for some $r < +\infty$, then it is a $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P).



Back

Close

I - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then
 1. \bar{u} is an $L^1(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) if and only if it is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) for every $r \in (1, +\infty)$.
 2. If \bar{u} is a $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) for some $r < +\infty$, then it is a $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P).
 3. \bar{u} is a strong local minimum of (P) if and only if it is an $L^r(\Omega)$ -weak local minimum of (P) for all $r \in [1, \infty)$.



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

18/28

II - Relationships among these Notions

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

II - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is not bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then

II - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is not bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then
 1. If \bar{u} is an $L^2(\Omega)$ -weak local solution, then \bar{u} is an $L^1(\Omega)$ -weak local solution.

II - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is not bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then
 1. If \bar{u} is an $L^2(\Omega)$ -weak local solution, then \bar{u} is an $L^1(\Omega)$ -weak local solution.
 2. If \bar{u} is an $L^p(\Omega)$ -weak local solution, then \bar{u} is an $L^q(\Omega)$ -weak local solution for every $p < q \leq \infty$.



Back

Close

II - Relationships among these Notions

- If \mathcal{U}_{ad} is not bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$, then
 1. If \bar{u} is an $L^2(\Omega)$ -weak local solution, then \bar{u} is an $L^1(\Omega)$ -weak local solution.
 2. If \bar{u} is an $L^p(\Omega)$ -weak local solution, then \bar{u} is an $L^q(\Omega)$ -weak local solution for every $p < q \leq \infty$.
 3. \bar{u} is an $L^2(\Omega)$ -weak local solution if and only if it is a strong local solution.



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

19/28

First Order Optimality Conditions

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

First Order Optimality Conditions

Theorem. Let \bar{u} be a local solution of (P) in any of the previous senses, then there exist two unique elements $\bar{y}, \bar{\varphi} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} A\bar{y} + b(x) \cdot \nabla \bar{y} + f(x, \bar{y}) = \bar{u} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \bar{y} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ A^* \bar{\varphi} - \operatorname{div}[b(x)\bar{\varphi}] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \bar{y})\bar{\varphi} = \bar{y} - y_d \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\varphi} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\varphi} + \nu \bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) dx \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \end{cases}$$

First Order Optimality Conditions

Theorem. Let \bar{u} be a local solution of (P) in any of the previous senses, then there exist two unique elements $\bar{y}, \bar{\varphi} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} A\bar{y} + b(x) \cdot \nabla \bar{y} + f(x, \bar{y}) = \bar{u} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \bar{y} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ A^* \bar{\varphi} - \operatorname{div}[b(x)\bar{\varphi}] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \bar{y})\bar{\varphi} = \bar{y} - y_d \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \bar{\varphi} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ \int_{\Omega} (\bar{\varphi} + \nu \bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) dx \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\bar{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ holds.



Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

20/28

Second Order Conditions

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Second Order Conditions

- **Cone of critical directions:**

$$C_{\bar{u}} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : J'(\bar{u})v = 0 \text{ and } v(x) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \alpha \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \beta \end{cases} \right\}$$

Second Order Conditions

•Cone of critical directions:

$$C_{\bar{u}} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : J'(\bar{u})v = 0 \text{ and } v(x) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \alpha \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \beta \end{cases} \right\}$$

Theorem If \bar{u} is a local minimum of (P), then $J''(\bar{u})v^2 \geq 0 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}}$.



Back

Close

Second Order Conditions

•Cone of critical directions:

$$C_{\bar{u}} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : J'(\bar{u})v = 0 \text{ and } v(x) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \alpha \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \beta \end{cases} \right\}$$

Theorem If \bar{u} is a local minimum of (P), then $J''(\bar{u})v^2 \geq 0 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}}$.
Conversely, if $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ satisfies the first order optimality conditions and $J''(\bar{u})v^2 > 0 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|u - \bar{u}\|_2^2 \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} : \|y_u - \bar{y}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \varepsilon.$$



Back

Close

Second Order Conditions

•Cone of critical directions:

$$C_{\bar{u}} = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : J'(\bar{u})v = 0 \text{ and } v(x) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \alpha \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } \bar{u}(x) = \beta \end{cases} \right\}$$

Theorem If \bar{u} is a local minimum of (P), then $J''(\bar{u})v^2 \geq 0 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}}$.
Conversely, if $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ satisfies the first order optimality conditions and $J''(\bar{u})v^2 > 0 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$J(\bar{u}) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|u - \bar{u}\|_2^2 \leq J(u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} : \|y_u - \bar{y}\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Theorem Let $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$. Then $J''(\bar{u})v^2 > 0 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}} \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $J''(\bar{u})v^2 \geq \delta \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \forall v \in C_{\bar{u}}$.



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

21/28

Approximation of the state equation

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Approximation of the state equation

- We consider a quasi-uniform family of triangulations $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$.

Approximation of the state equation

- We consider a quasi-uniform family of triangulations $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$.

$$Y_h = \{y_h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : y_h|_T \in P_1(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ and } y_h \equiv 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}.$$

Approximation of the state equation

- We consider a quasi-uniform family of triangulations $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$.

$$Y_h = \{y_h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : y_h|_T \in P_1(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ and } y_h \equiv 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} a(y_1, y_2) &= \langle \mathcal{A}y_1, y_2 \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} y_1 \partial_{x_j} y_2 + [b(x) \cdot \nabla y_1] y_2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Approximation of the state equation

- We consider a quasi-uniform family of triangulations $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h>0}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$.

$$Y_h = \{y_h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : y_h|_T \in P_1(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ and } y_h \equiv 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} a(y_1, y_2) &= \langle \mathcal{A}y_1, y_2 \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \partial_{x_i} y_1 \partial_{x_j} y_2 + [b(x) \cdot \nabla y_1] y_2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } y_h \in Y_h \text{ such that} \\ a(y_h, z_h) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, y_h(x)) z_h(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x) z_h(x) dx \quad \forall z_h \in Y_h. \end{array} \right.$$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

22/28

Numerical Analysis of the Linear Equation

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Numerical Analysis of the Linear Equation

Theorem [Schatz, 1974]. Let $a_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ be a nonnegative function. There exists $h_{\mathcal{A}} > 0$ depending on \mathcal{A} and $\|a_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ such that the variational problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } y_h \in Y_h \text{ such that} \\ a(y_h, z_h) + \int_{\Omega} a_0(x) y_h(x) z_h(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x) z_h(x) dx \quad \forall z_h \in Y_h \end{array} \right.$$

has a unique solution for every $h \leq h_{\mathcal{A}}$ and for every $u \in L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C_{\mathcal{A}, a_0}$ such that

$$\|y_h\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C_{\mathcal{A}, a_0} \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall h \leq h_{\mathcal{A}}.$$



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

23/28

Numerical Analysis of the Semilinear Equation

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Numerical Analysis of the Semilinear Equation

Theorem. Let us assume that

$$\begin{cases} f(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \forall M > 0 \exists L_{f,M} \text{ such that} \\ |f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)| \leq L_{f,M} |y_2 - y_1| \quad \forall |y_i| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$



Back

Close

Numerical Analysis of the Semilinear Equation

Theorem. Let us assume that

$$\begin{cases} f(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \forall M > 0 \exists L_{f,M} \text{ such that} \\ |f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)| \leq L_{f,M} |y_2 - y_1| \quad \forall |y_i| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

$\forall M \geq 1 + \|y\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \exists h_M > 0$ such that for every $h < h_M$ the discrete equation has a unique solution y_h satisfying $\|y_h\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq M$.



Back

Close

Numerical Analysis of the Semilinear Equation

Theorem. Let us assume that

$$\begin{cases} f(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \forall M > 0 \exists L_{f,M} \text{ such that} \\ |f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)| \leq L_{f,M} |y_2 - y_1| \quad \forall |y_i| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

$\forall M \geq 1 + \|y\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \exists h_M > 0$ such that for every $h < h_M$ the discrete equation has a unique solution y_h satisfying $\|y_h\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq M$. Moreover, there exist constants K_M and $K_{\infty, M}$ independent of u such that

$$\|y - y_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + h \|y - y_h\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq K_M \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1 \right) h^2$$

$$\|y - y_h\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_{\infty, M} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1 \right) h^{2 - \frac{n}{2}}$$



Back

Close

Numerical Analysis of the Semilinear Equation

Theorem. Let us assume that

$$\begin{cases} f(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \forall M > 0 \exists L_{f,M} \text{ such that} \\ |f(x, y_2) - f(x, y_1)| \leq L_{f,M} |y_2 - y_1| \quad \forall |y_i| \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

$\forall M \geq 1 + \|y\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \exists h_M > 0$ such that for every $h < h_M$ the discrete equation has a unique solution y_h satisfying $\|y_h\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq M$. Moreover, there exist constants K_M and $K_{\infty, M}$ independent of u such that

$$\|y - y_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + h \|y - y_h\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq K_M \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1 \right) h^2$$

$$\|y - y_h\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_{\infty, M} \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1 \right) h^{2-\frac{n}{2}}$$

Further, if there exist other solutions $\{\tilde{y}_h\}_{h < h_M}$ with $y_h \neq \tilde{y}_h$ for all h , then $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\tilde{y}_h\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} = \infty$.



Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

24/28

A Discrete Mapping $u_h \rightarrow y_h$

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

A Discrete Mapping $u_h \rightarrow y_h$

Theorem. Let $\bar{y} \in Y$ be the solution of state equation corresponding to the control $\bar{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$. Given $\rho > 0$ arbitrary, there exist $\rho^* > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that the discrete equation has a unique solution $y_h(u) \in \bar{B}_{\rho^*}^Y(\bar{y})$ for every $u \in \bar{B}_\rho(\bar{u}) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and for all $h < h_0$, where

$$\bar{B}_{\rho^*}^Y(\bar{y}) = \{y \in Y : \|y - \bar{y}\|_Y \leq \rho^*\}.$$

Furthermore, there exist constants K and K_∞ such that

$$\|y_u - y_h(u)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + h\|y_u - y_h(u)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq K \left(\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \rho + 1 \right) h^2$$

$$\|y_u - y_h(u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq K_\infty \left(\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \rho + 1 \right) h^{2-\frac{n}{2}} \quad \forall u \in \bar{B}_\rho(\bar{u})$$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

25/28

Numerical Approximation of (P)

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Numerical Approximation of (P)

- Let us define $\mathcal{J} : L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{J}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$

Numerical Approximation of (P)

- Let us define $\mathcal{J} : L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{J}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$

- Let us denote by \mathcal{U}_h one of the following two spaces:

$$\mathcal{U}_h = \mathcal{U}_h^0 := \{u_h \in L^2(\Omega) : u_{h|T} \in P_0(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_h = \mathcal{U}_h^1 := \{u_h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : u_{h|T} \in P_1(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

Numerical Approximation of (P)

- Let us define $\mathcal{J} : L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{J}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (y(x) - y_d(x))^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$

- Let us denote by \mathcal{U}_h one of the following two spaces:

$$\mathcal{U}_h = \mathcal{U}_h^0 := \{u_h \in L^2(\Omega) : u_h|_T \in P_0(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_h = \mathcal{U}_h^1 := \{u_h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : u_h|_T \in P_1(T) \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

- We set $\mathcal{U}_{h,ad} = \mathcal{U}_h \cap \mathcal{U}_{ad}$.
- We approximate Problem (P) by the problem

$(\mathcal{P}_h) \min\{\mathcal{J}(y_h, u_h) : (y_h, u_h) \in Y_h \times \mathcal{U}_{h,ad} \text{ satisfies the discrete equation}\}$.



Back

Close

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

26/28

Convergence of (\mathcal{P}_h) to (P)

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Convergence of (\mathcal{P}_h) to (P)

Theorem. There exists $h_0 > 0$ such that problem (\mathcal{P}_h) has at least one solution (\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h) for all $h < h_0$.

Convergence of (\mathcal{P}_h) to (P)

Theorem. There exists $h_0 > 0$ such that problem (\mathcal{P}_h) has at least one solution (\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h) for all $h < h_0$. Moreover, if $\{(\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h)\}_{h < h_0}$ is a sequence of solutions of problems (\mathcal{P}_h) , then it is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ and there exist subsequences converging weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$.

Convergence of (\mathcal{P}_h) to (P)

Theorem. There exists $h_0 > 0$ such that problem (\mathcal{P}_h) has at least one solution (\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h) for all $h < h_0$. Moreover, if $\{(\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h)\}_{h < h_0}$ is a sequence of solutions of problems (\mathcal{P}_h) , then it is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ and there exist subsequences converging weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. In addition, if a subsequence, denoted in the same way, satisfies that $(\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h) \rightharpoonup (\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, then $(\bar{y}, \bar{u}) \in Y \times \mathcal{U}_{ad}$, \bar{u} is a solution of (P) with associated stated \bar{y} , and $(\bar{y}_h, \bar{u}_h) \rightarrow (\bar{y}, \bar{u})$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$.

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

27/28

Error Estimates

UC

University
of Cantabria



Back

Close

Error Estimates

Theorem. Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$ be a local minimizer of (P) satisfying the sufficient second order optimality conditions and let $\{\bar{u}_h\}$ be the sequence of minimizers of the problems (\mathcal{P}_h) described in the above theorem. Then, there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that

- If $\mathcal{U}_{ad} \subsetneq L^2(\Omega)$, then

$$\|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch. \quad \forall h < h_0$$

Error Estimates

Theorem. Let $\bar{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$ be a local minimizer of (P) satisfying the sufficient second order optimality conditions and let $\{\bar{u}_h\}$ be the sequence of minimizers of the problems (\mathcal{P}_h) described in the above theorem. Then, there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that

- If $\mathcal{U}_{ad} \subsetneq L^2(\Omega)$, then

$$\|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch. \quad \forall h < h_0$$

- If $\mathcal{U}_{ad} = L^2(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{U}_h = \mathcal{U}_h^i$, $i = 0, 1$, then

$$\|\bar{u} - \bar{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{1+i} \quad \forall h < h_0$$

Dedicated to Jean-Pierre Raymond

28/28

UC

University
of Cantabria

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Back

Close